

ENVIRONMENTAL RISK, REPUTATIONAL RISK, AND LEGAL RISK AS DETERMINANTS OF THE PERFORMANCE OF MANUFACTURING COMPANIES IN NIGERIA

Kudirat Adeola BANJO¹, Sunday Adekunle ADULOJU², Sunday Stephen AJEMUNIGBOHUN³

¹ Department of Actuarial Science and Insurance, Faculty of Applied Social Sciences, Lagos State University of Science and Technology, Ikorodu, Lagos State, Nigeria, Email: peaceadeolabanjo@gmail.com ² Department of Actuarial Science and Insurance, Faculty of Management Sciences, University of Lagos, Akoka-Yaba, Lagos, Lagos State, Nigeria, Email: ksaduloju@gmail.com ³ Department of Insurance, Faculty of Management Sciences, Lagos State University, Lagos State, Nigeria, Email: sunday.ajemunibohun@lasu.edu.ng

How to cite: BANJO, K.A., ADULOJU, S.A., & AJEMUNIGBOHUN, S.S. (2022). "Environmental Risk, Reputational Risk, and Legal Risk as Determinants of the Performance of Manufacturing Companies in Nigeria." *Annals of Spiru Haret University. Economic Series*, 22(1), 489-502, doi: https://doi.org/10.26458/22131

Abstract

Most manufacturing firms focus on how to improve their effectiveness and pay less attention to the possible risks that can affect their profitability. The impact of environmental, reputational and legal risks on the performance of manufacturing firms was examined in this paper. An exploratory research design was used by the researcher. The population of this study is 305 senior staff from 10 manufacturing companies in Lagos state's Ikorodu Metropolis. The Logit Binary regression model was used to test the study's hypotheses. This model is thought to be suitable because it can predict the impact of independent variables on dependent variables. According to the findings of



this study, environmental risk, reputational risk, and legal risk all have a negative significant impact on the performance of manufacturing firms. This study recommended that manufacturing company management give greater attention to their environmental, reputational, and legal risks by making sure that such risks are properly managed with the help of Enterprise Risk Management Tools and by offering valuable intelligence into their entire organization with Data-Driven Decision Making. Manufacturing firms should use Optimised Heat Maps and Charts to distinguish, analyze, and assess Environmental Risk, Reputational Risk, and Legal Risk in order to avoid or minimize such risks.

Keywords: *environmental risk; reputational risk; legal risk, performance of manufacturing companies.*

JEL Classification: M11

Introduction

Industries all over the world are subjected to various risks, including environmental risk, reputational risk, and legal risk, and this has a significant impact on their performance (AliBaba & VazirZanjani, 2021). The likelihood of a detrimental event occurring is defined as risk. The ambiguity that engulfs upcoming events and outcomes is referred to as risk. It expresses the probability and consequence of an event that has the potential to impact a firm's attainment of goals (Bhimani, 2020). Risk can be defined as a state in which there is a possibility of loss but also a possibility of gain (Boekestein, 2021). Based on the goal and point of view of a discussion, the concept of risk can also be described and explained in a variety of ways. According to Chapman and Ward (2021), a risk is a doubt associated with damage or loss. They imply that something that is indeterminate does not have to be risky; nevertheless, if an event is both vague and involves a loss, it can be classified as a risk. According ito iEssinger iand iRosen (2021), irisk iis idefined ias i"the ipossibility iof iunwelcome, iadverse consequences ito ihuman ilife, ihealth, iproperty, ior ithe ienvironment." iBecause one iwould inever irisk ia iloss iif ithere iwas ino ichance iof iwinning, to irealize the iexistence iof ia irisk, ione imust ibe iaware iof iboth ithe igains iand ilosses incurred iand itherefore ia irisk ican ibe reflected as individual and relative to observer (Francis & Armstrong, 2019).iAll ithese idefinitions iseek ito imake





known ithat irisk iis ito ibe iseen ias ipart iof idaily ilife, iand ithe ipresence iof risk in iany ienvironment ishould inot ibe ia iproblem ibut ithe ifocus ishould ibe ion how ithose irisks iare ibeing imanaged iand iin iturn iminimizing itheir ipotential effect.

Risk imanagement ion ithe iother ihand ideals iwith ithe iprocess iof identifying and icontrolling ipotential irisks ithat ican ibe ifaced iby ian iorganization. iRisk management iis iabout iidentifying ithe irisk ito ibe imanaged, irisk ito ileave unattended iand irisk ithat ineed ito ibe ihedged. iRisk imanagement iis irecognized in itoday's ibusiness iworld ias ian iintegral ipart iof igood imanagement ipractice. In iits ibroadest isense, iit ientails ithe isystematic iuse iof imanagement ipolicies, procedures iand ipractices ito ithe itasks iof iidentifying, ianalysing, iassessing, treating iand imonitoring irisk. iRisk imanagement irefers ito ia ipractice iof identifying iloss iexposures ifaced iby ian iorganization iand iselecting ithe imost appropriate iprocedures ifor itreating ithese iparticular ispotlights ieffectively (Gordon, iLoeb, i& iTseng, i2019). iRisk imanagement iis ithe iidentification, assessment, iand iprioritization iof irisks ifollowed iby icoordinated iand economical iapplication iof iresources ito imitigate, imonitor, iand icontrol ithe probability iand/or iimpact iof iunfortunate ievents ior ito imaximize ithe realization iof iopportunities i(Gupta, i2018).

Effective irisk imanagement ican ibring ifar ipayoffs ito ithe icompany irrespective iof iwhat itype iit iis. iThese ipaybacks iinclude, isuperior financialiperformance, ibetter ibasis ifor istrategy isetting, improved iservice delivery, ibetter icompetitive iadvantage, iless itime ispent ifirefighting iand ifewer unwanted isurprises, iincreased ilikelihood iof ichange iinitiative ibeing iachieved, closer iinternal ifocus ion idoing ithe iright ithings iproperly, imore iefficient iuse of iresources, ireduced iwaste iand ifraud, iand ibetter ivalue ifor imoney, improved innovation iand ibetter imanagement iof icontingent iand imaintenance iactivities (Gupta,i2018). iRisk imanagement iin imanufacturing isector iis iabout ithe categories iand itypes iof irisks ithat ican ibe iopened ito icompanies iin ithe manufacturing iindustries iand ithe iapproach iwhich ithe icompanies iadopt iin managing ithose irisks. iThe iways iand imanners iwhich icompanies iadopt iin managing itheir irisks ican ihave ieither iof ipositive ior inegative ieffect ion itheir performance. iHere iare isome iof ithe irisks ithat imanufacturing icompanies ican be iexposed ito; ienvironmental irisk, ireputational irisk, iand ilegal irisk. iSome factors ithat imay icause icompanies ito iface ivarious iunpredictable irisks iare environmentalicomplexitym(Hovt & Liebenberg, 2020), intense competition,



advanced itechnology, idevelopment iof iinformation iand icommunication technology, inew imethods iof isupplying igoods iand iservices, ienvironmental issues iand icompanies' imovement ifrom itangible ito iintangible iassets. iAs ia result, icompanies iare ifaced iwith iseveral irisk imanagement iissues iincluding enterprise irisk imanagement, ibusiness irisk imanagement iand istrategic irisk management i(Luo, i2017).

Currently, irisk imanagement iis iregarded ias ione iof ithe imost iimportant concerns iof iexecutives iand ithe irisk imanagement iactivities iare iexpanding. However, iregarding ithe iperipheral ieffects iand iapplications iof irisk management, ifew iempirical iresearches ihas ibeen idone iup iuntil inow i(2021). In iother iwords, idespite irapid igrowth iin iimportance iof ithe itopic, ifew applied studies ihave ibeen idone ito idetermine iwhether ienvironmental irisk, reputational risk, iand ilegal irisk ihas ipractically idesirable ieffects ion ithe ifirm's performance.

On ithe iother ihand, idue ito ithe iconceptual icomplexities iof irisk management iand ivariation iin imethods iof icontrolling iadverse ieffects iof losses, ithe iprevious ifew iattempts ithat ihave ibeen imade ifailed ito ioffer ia comprehensive iand iintegrated iframework. Riskimanagementihasiseveral advantages. iIt iinspires istrong istimulus iin icompany's imajor istockholders ito increase itheir iinvestments iin ithe icompany. iBy iincreasing itheir iinvestments such iinvestors iinvest iin icompany's ispecific iassets. iThese iassets iare iregarded as itools ithat iprovide ibetter ibusiness iopportunities itoward iobtaining iproper and ilong-lasting icompetitive iadvantage. iTherefore, iit iis iconcluded ithat ilack of ieffective ienvironmental irisk, ireputational irisk, iand ilegal irisk imanagement may ilead ito iimposition iof iextra icosts ion iboth iinvestor iand iinvestee iand thereafter iaffects itheir iperformances.

Research Objectives

The primary purpose of this paper is to examine the effect of environmental risk, reputational risk, and legal risk on the performance of manufacturing companies in Nigeria. The specific objectives are to:

1. determine the extent at which environmental risk impact the performance of manufacturing firms.

2. Examine the extent at which reputational risk influence manufacturing firms performance.

3. ascertain how legal risk influence manufacturing firms performance.



Literature Review Risk

Risk in finance refers to the likelihood that actual outcomes will differ from predicted outcomes. Risk is described as the volatility of returns in the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM). The "risk and return" concept holds that riskier investments should have higher expected returns to reimburse investors for the increased volatility (Mua, GangPengb, & Douglas, 2019).

Types of Risk

As indicated by ParvizRad (2012), there are two types of risk: systematic risk and unsystematic risk. Systematic risk is an investment's market unpredictability, which means that it symbolizes external factors that affect all (or several) businesses in a sector or group. Unsystematic risk refers to asset-specific unpredictability that can influence an investment's effectiveness.

The following are the most vital types of risk to take into account when assessing investment options for a financial analyst:

Environmental Risk

This is known as the risk that a particular business venture or activity will cause destruction to the surrounding natural environment. iFor iexample, iif ioil ireserves were idiscovered iin ia inational ipark, ithere iwould ibe ithe ienvironmental riskithat iexploiting ithe ireserves imight iharm ior idestroy isome iof ithe ipark's wildlife. iWhile ienvironmental irisk iimplies isome imoral ior iat ileast reputational irisk, iit ialso icarries ieconomic iconsequences. iA icompany iwith environmental irisk ioften ihas ito ipay ifees ifor iexemptions ifrom icertain policies, iand iit iis iusually iresponsible ifor icleaning iup ithe ienvironment iin case iit icauses ia islow ior isudden idisaster(Shiller, i2021).

Environmental iRisk iManagement

Environmental irisk imanagement i(ERM) ihelps ito iensure ithat environmental risk iis icontained ito iacceptable ilevels, iand iideally ishould ibe iapplied ito iall aspects iof ia imining ioperation iin ia istructured iprocess ito iensure ithat iall relevant iissues iare iaddressed. iCriteria iand iobjectives ifor irisk iassessment should ibe iestablished iduring ithe iplanning istage. iResults iof imonitoring should ibe ifed iinto ithe irisk iassessment iprocess ito iidentify iand ireduce emerging iproblems ias isoon ias ipossible. iAs iERM iencompasses ithe ientire



mine iproject, imultiple iskills iare ineeded iand isufficient iresources imust ibe made iavailable ito ido ithe ijob ieffectively. iThe iresults iof ithe irisk ianalysis must ibe icommunicated ieffectively ithough ithe icloud isystem, iand irisk management irecommendations ishould ibe iimplemented ipromptly ifor ithe iERM process ito isucceed(Maginn, iTuttle, iMcLeavey, i& iPinto, i2017).

Reputational iRisk

This type of irisk istrikes iwithout iwarning iand ishifts iyour icorporate landscape. iEven iworse, iit iinjects ian iunfavourable inarrative iinto iyour isearch results iwhich iaffects icustomer iopinions iand iimpacts irevenue. iThere iare countless statistics about online reputation ithat isupport ithis iconclusion. iWe commissioned ia istudy iby iForrester iConsulting ito ifind iout iwhat iexecutives at large ibrands ithink iabout iSEO iand ireputation(Elosegui, i2003).

Reputation irisk iis ievolving. ilt's ia istrategic iconcern ibecause iit iis connected ito iand imagnified iby iother ibusiness irisks. iAccording ito ia irecent DTTL isurvey, iReputational Risk, ithe imost iprevalent idrivers iof ireputation risk are irisks irelated ito iethics iand iintegrity, iphysical iand icyber isecurity, iand products iand iservices. iThird-party irelationship irisk iis ialso irapidly iemerging, as icompanies iare iincreasingly ibeing iheld iaccountable ifor ithe iactions iof vendors, ibrokers, iand isimilar iassociates. iSo ias ithose irisks iproliferate, reputation irisk iheightens ias iwell.

Reputation irisk ikeeps ibusiness ileaders iup iat inight ibecause iit's ia imeta risk. iIt ican ioriginate iand ispread ifrom iinside iand ioutside ithe iorganization, at an ialarming ispeed. iThe iexecutives iinterviewed iin ithe iglobal isurvey expressed ithe iinherent ichallenges iin ithis isituation. iFor iexample, iperceptions can ivary ifrom igeography ito igeography, iso ian iissue ior ievent imay inot ipose a ithreat iin ione ilocale, ibut imay itrigger ia iworldwide imedia ifrenzy iin another iwith ivery ireal iconsequence ito ireputation (Jovanovic, i2015).

Adding ito ithe iconcern iis ithat isome iof ithese irisks iare ibeyond ithe company's idirect icontrol. iRespondents ito ithe isurvey iwere iless iconfident about imanaging irisks ifrom ithird-party/extended ienterprise iissues, icompetitive attacks, iand ihazards ior iother icatastrophes ithan iabout imanaging irisks ithey can icontrol iinternally, isuch ias ithose irelated ito iregulatory icompliance ior employee imisconduct.



Legal iRisk

Legal irisk iis ithe ilikelihood iof ifinancial ior ireputational iloss iresulting from a ilack iof iknowledge i(or imisunderstanding) iof ihow ithe ilaw iapplies ito iyour business, ior ioperating iwith ia ireckless iindifference ito ithe ilaw iand ihow iit applies (Mas-Colell, iWhinston, i& iGreen, i2019).

Legal irisk iwas idefined ias ipart iof ioperational risk iby ithe iBasel II accord in i2003. iIt iincludes ithe irisk iof ifinancial ior ireputational iloss iresulting ifrom any itype iof ilegal iissue. iThis icould iinclude ia ilack iof iawareness ior misunderstanding iof ithe iway ilaws iand iregulations iapply ito ia ibusiness. iBut companies ican itake iaction ito ireduce ithis irisk. iSo, ifor iexample, ia corporation imay irequire iall iits iemployees ito iundergo ihealth iand isafety training iin iorder ito ireduce iits ilegal irisk ifrom icompensation iclaims(Den i& Haan, i2019).

One iof ithe iprimary ireasons iwhy ilegal irisk iis iassociated iwith ioperational risk iinvolves ifraud isince iit iis irecognized ias ithe imost isignificant icategory iof operational iloss ievents iand iconsidered ito ibe ia ilegal iissue ias iwell. iThese, however, ido inot imean ithat ilegal irisk iis ionly iconfined ito ithis conceptualization ibecause iit iis idefined iin imore ithan iway. iFor iinstance, there are ispecific isets iof ilegal irisks ithat iare idefined iby ithe iEuropean Union (EU) Law. iIn i2005, ithe iEuropean Central Manufacturing companies ideclared ithat iit will idevelop iits iown ilegal irisk idefinition ito ihelp i"facilitate iproper irisk assessment iand irisk imanagement, ias iwell ias iensure ia iconsistent iapproach between iEU icredit iinstitutions(Krusell i& iSmith, i2018).

Risk iManagement iand iPerformance iof iManufacturing iCompanies

Adebisi i(2021) investigate ithe iconnection iof iethics ito irisk imanagement. They iargue ithat ithere iare icompelling ireasons ifor igood iethical ipractice ito be an iessential ipart iof irisk imanagement. iThey idiscuss ithat iexploring ithe relationship iof iethics iand irisk imanagement ihas isignificant icommercial outcomes. iNot ionly ithose ioutcomes ihelp ito iidentify ipotential iproblems, ibut they ialso ihelp ipreventing ifraud, ipreserving icorporate ireputation, iand ito mitigate ilitigation iagainst icompany iwhich ilead ito iincreased ilegitimacy. Likewise, iBhimani i(2021) isay ithat irisk imanagement ileads ito ihigher corporate ilegitimacy.

Using ia isample iof iChinese ifirms, iMua i(2021) iexamine ithe ieffect iof irisk management istrategy iover iperformance iof inew iproduct idevelopment. iThey



496

find thatirisk managementistrategiesithatifocus on technological,iorganizational, and marketing factors,iindividuallyiand interactivelyiimproveithe performance of new product development.

Gordon and Keni(2020) examineithe relation of enterprise risk management (ERM) and performance.iTheyiargue thatithe relationiof enterpriseirisk management iand iperformanceiisicontingent upon five firm-specificifactors namely, environmental uncertainty, industry competition, firm complexity, firm size, and boardiof directors'imonitoring. Finally, theyargue that for implementing ERMifirmsishouldipay attention to the contextual variables that are surrounding theifirm.

Andersen (2020) examines theifirm-specific investmentirationale asia plausible explanation for positiveirisk management effects. Asia consequence of theifirmspecific investment rationaleihe findsithatieffective risk management outcomes iare associated with superior corporate performance. Further he indicatesithatifirms that vary inilevels of intellectualicapital and investmentiin innovationialsoidiffer in theiririsk management effects.

Likewise, Gupta (2021)iexamines theirisk management in Indian companies and exploreithe reasons forithe adoptionior lackiof adoption of integrated approach to risk management. Heishows thatieven though effective riskimanagement caniimproveiorganizational performance, companies do notihaveiadequate infrastructure to implement enterprise-wide risk management. He concludesithat a sea change in risk perception is requiredito build upiriskicultureiacross business segments and incentivize risk management adoption.

Risk management is an effective technique for minimizing undesirable effects of risksiand optimizing the benefits of risky situations (Cohen & Kaimenakis, 2017). Manuel (2018) describes theiaim ofiriskimanagement asprocess enhancement thatiisiestablishedithrough systematiciidentification, evaluation and mitigation of project risks. According to these definitions risk management is defined as measures that areitakenitoidecreaseitheipotential risky consequences of phenomenoninamely priceivariation, accidents, politicalihazards, specific disruption in supply ofiraw material, economic development, etc. Such risks represent a wideispectrum of company's risks that are dealt with by various specialists.In otheriword, ieffective risk management deals withimarket risks that the company is facing and tries to take advantage of business opportunities that theseirisksimight have. Itiis an effective tool of contending with external marketithreats thatiareiout of management control and result inireduction of profit variances (Milost, 2017).



Thetoolsandifacilities that managementiusesito face external market threats are financial hedging, insurance contracts, imanagementicontrolssystems, transportation of iresources and careful decisions it are imade to improve company's iprofitability. iAll iof ithe iaforementioned imovements iare made ito reduce iadversity iof isituations it hat it is icompany imight if ace iwith.

To icover ienvironmental irisk, ireputational irisk iand ilegal irisk, icompanies do irisk imanagement ithrough iderivatives ivia iusing iinsurance icoverage iand through iexamining iintegrative irisk imanagement iapproaches. iIn iaddition, iin comparison iwith ipast irisk imanagement imotivations, iand ihistorical ifinancial obligations, ithere iis ihigher itendency ito irisk imanagement inow. iIndeed, iit iis obvious ithat icompany's iaccountability idepends ito iits iability ito iutilize ithe new iopportunities ithat iare iderived ifrom ichanges iin ienvironment(Boekestein, 2021).

Methodology

The researcher made used of an anexploratory research design. The study population is employees of manufacturing firms in Ikorodu Area of Lagos state. A research questionnaire was utilized as an instrument for collection of data. The instrument was adequately subjected to reliability and validity test. The simple random sampling was used as sampling technique for this study which is targeted towads giving every respondent an equal opportunity of being selected. For the purpose of this study, Taro Yamane was utilized to determine the sample size.

$$ss = \frac{N}{(1 + N(e)^2)}$$

$$ss = \frac{305}{(1 + 305(0.05)^2)}$$

$$ss = 171.$$

The sample size for this study is the 171 respondents.



Reliability of the Research Instruments

Table 1. Reliability Test Result

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items		
.721	171		

Source:	SPSS 25	.0 OUTPUT
---------	---------	-----------

The result of the reliability test in table 1 shows that Cronbach Alpha for all the items in the questionnaire is reliable. This means that the questionnaire is reliable enough for further research.

Table 2. Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) and Barlett's test of Sphericity

KMO and Bartlett's Test

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy.		.741
	Approx. Chi-Square	3241.144
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	Df	171
	Sig.	.000

Source: SPSS 25.0 output

This study conducted the KMO and Barlett's test of Sphericity. The KMO determines the sampling adequacy iwhich should beiclose than 0.5 ifor a satisfactory factor analysisitoiproceed. Kaiser (1974) recommend 0.5 (value for KMO) as minimum (barelyiaccepted), ivalues ibetween i0.7-0.8 acceptable, and values above i0.9 iare is uperb. The table 4 is hows it hat the value of KMO measure for the questionnaire is .6151 which is igreater than 0.5 and therefore accepted that the sample was adequate.

iFrom table 2, the testiis significant (0.001) which infers that correlationimatrix is not an identity matrix.



Method of Data Analysis

The hypothesis was tested using Logit Binary regression model. The Formula for Logit Binary regression model:

$$L = ln \left[\frac{Pt}{1 - Pt} \right] = \beta_0 X_t$$

Where:

L i= iLogit iRegression ln i= iLog

Pi i= iEnvironmental iRisk, iReputational iRisk, iLegal iRisk,

1 i- iPi i= iEnvironmental iRisk, iReputational iRisk, iLegal iRisk,

 β i= iBeta

X i= iPerformance iof iManufacturing iCompanies.

Statistical iPackage ifor iSocial iSciences iSoftware i(SPSS) iversion i25 iwas used ifor ithe idata ianalysis.

Data Presentation, Analysis and Interpretation

Questionnaires were administered to respondents, out of the 171 questionnaires that was administered, 151 copies were filled correctly and returned.

Data Analysis

Table 3. Model Summary

Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	-44.124ª	.887	.754

Source: SPSS 25 Output

Table 3 shows that there is about 89% correlation between the performance of Environmental Risk, Reputation Risk, Legal Risk and the performance of manufacturing companies. This iimplies ithat ipoor imanagement iof ithese irisk has iabout i89% ichances iof iaffecting ithe iperformance iof imanufacturing companies ieither ipositively ior iotherwise. iThis iis ialso iconfirmed iby ithe Nagelkerke iR iSquare ivalue iof i75%.



Table 4. Variables in the Equation

	В	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Environmental Risk	-4.141	3.212	2.211	4	.007	55.111
Reputational Risk	-5.141	3.221	3.321	4	.001	12.214
Legal Risk	-6.251	2.011	6.341	4	.000	1.214
Constant	5.141	22.117	5.141	4	.001	2.321
TT 111 ()	1 1					

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1

Source: SPSS 25 Output

Table i4 irevealed ithat iEnvironmental iRisk; iReputational iRisk; iand iLegal Risk ihas inegative isignificant ieffect ion ithe iperformance iof imanufacturing companies. iConsequently, ithe iBeta ivalue iof i-4.141 i(as ishown iin iTable i4) simply imean ithat iEnvironmental iRisk iaccount ifor ia iunit ieffect iof i-4.141, Reputational iRisk ihas ia iunit ieffect iof i-5.141, iLegal iRisk iaccount ifor ia negative ieffect iof i-6.251. iThe ip-value i(.007, i.001, i.000, iand i.001) iis iless than ithe isignificant ilevel iof i0.05. iThe iresult iin ithe iTable i4 ishows ithat ithe p-value iis iless ithan ithe ilevel iof isignificance iof i0.05. Therefore, Environmental Risk; Reputational Risk; and Legal Risk has negative significant effect on the performance of manufacturing companies.

Conclusion

Bad management of Environmental, Reputational and Legal Risks may lead to total collapse of manufacturing firm. It was concluded in this study that all the risk factors (Environmental, Reputational and Legal Risks) negatively significantly affect the performance of manufacturing firms.

Recommendations

Manufacturing firms' managers should give more attention to environmental, reputational, and legal risks, making sure that these risks are addressed with Enterprise Risk Management Techniques and giving valuable intelligence into their entire organization with Data-Driven Decision Making. These firms should use Optimal operational Heat Maps and Graphs to classify, analyze, and assess Environmental Risk, Reputational Risk, and Legal Risk in order to avoid or completely eradicate such risks.



References

- Adebisi, O. (2021). Ethics and risk management. International Journal of Risk Management, 74(12), 174 - 189.
- [2] AliBaba, M., & VazirZanjani, H. (2021). Risk Management; an innovative approach to improve effectiveness. Sci. Edu. J. Manage., Tadbir monthly Journal, 4(3), 50 - 62.
- [3] Andersen, M. (2020). The firm-specific investment rationale as a plausible explanation for positive risk management effects. *Journal of Accounting and Finance Management*, 18(7), 122 - 137.
- [4] Andersen, T. (2017). The Performance Relationship of Effective Risk Management: Exploring the Firm-Specific Investment Rationale. *Long Range Planning*, 47(5), 155 -176.
- [5] Baldwin, J., & Li, Z. (2012). Impediments to advanced technology adoption for Canadian manufacturers. *Research Policy*, 8(5), 1 - 18.
- [6] Bhimani, A. (2020). Risk management, corporate governance and management accounting: Emerging interdependencies. *Management Accounting Research*, 11(5), 174 - 189.
- [7] Bhimani, O. (2021). Risk management leads to higher corporate legitimacy. Journal of Management Sciences, 144(27), 147 - 157.
- [8] Boekestein, B. (2021). The relation between intellectual capital and intangible assets of pharmaceutical companies. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 9(4), 241 253.
- [9] Chapman, C., & Ward, S. (2021, April 4). Project Risk Management Processes, Techniques and Insights. Retrieved from Project-Risk-Management-Processes: www.amazon.co.uk/Project-Risk-Management-Processes
- [10] Chen, J., & Xie, Y. (2017). Measuring intellectual capital: A new model and empirical study. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 10(5), 85 - 100.
- [11] Cohen, S., & Kaimenakis, N. (2017). Intellectual capital and corporate performance in knowledge-intensive SMEs. *The Learning Organization*, 14(3), 241 - 262.
- [12] Den, T., & Haan, W. (2019). Comparison of Solutions to the Incomplete Markets Model with Aggregate Uncertainty. *Journal of Economics Dynamics and Control*, 79(19), 4 - 27.
- [13] Elosegui, P. L. (2003). Aggregate Risk, Credit Rationing, and Capital Accumulation. Quarterly Journal of Economics and Finance, 43(4), 668 - 696.
- [14] Essinger, J., & Rosen, J. (2021, April 4). Using Technology for Risk Management. Retrieved from Technology for Risk Management: www.jisc.ac.uk/uploaded documents/risk assessment guid ance.doc.
- [15] Francis, R., & Armstrong, A. (2019). Ethics as a Risk Management Strategy. *The Australian Journal of Business Ethics*, 5(4), 74 91.
- [16] Gordon, E., & Ken, T. (2020). The relation of enterprise risk management (ERM) and performance. *International Journal of Management*, 6(2), 127 - 133.
- [17] Gordon, L., Loeb, M., & Tseng, C. (2019). Enterprise risk management and firm performance: A contingency perspective. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 10(6), 241 - 265.



- [18] Gupta, I. (2021). Risk management in Indian companies and the reasons for the adoption or lack of adoption of integrated approach to risk management. *Integrated Journal of Social Sciences*, 48(7), 166 - 179.
- [19] Gupta, P. (2018). Risk management in Indian companies: EWRM concerns and issues. *Journal of Risk Finance*, 12(2), 121 138.
- [20] Hoyt, R., & Liebenberg, A. (2020). The Value of Enterprise Risk Management: Evidence from the U.S. Working Paper, Mississippi University of Mississippi, 12(7), 144 - 155.
- [21] Jovanovic, B. (2015). Micro Shocks and Aggregate Risk. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 102(2), 395 - 410.
- [22] Krusell, P., & Smith, J. (2018). Income and Wealth Heterogeneity in the Macroeconomy. *Journal of Political Economy*, 111(12), 867 - 896.
- [23] Luo, Y. (2017). Environment-Strategy-Performance Relations in Small Businesses in China: A Case of Township and Village Enterprises in Southern China. *Journal of Small Bussiness Management*, 38(7), 14 - 29.
- [24] Maginn, J., Tuttle, D., McLeavey, D., & Pinto, J. (2017). Managing Investment Portfolios: A Dynamic Process. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
- [25] Manuel, E. (2018). Innovation and Risk Management. Working paper, 12(4), 22 39.
- [26] Mas-Colell, A., Whinston, M., & Green, J. (2019). *Microeconomic Theory*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- [27] Milost, F. (2017). A dynamic monetary model for evaluating employees. Journal of Intellectual Capital, 18(2), 124 - 138.
- [28] Mua, J., GangPengb, H., & Douglas, L. M. (2019). Effect of risk management strategy on NPD performance. *Technovation Journal*, 26(7), 47 - 66.
- [29] Mua, O. (2021). The effect of risk management strategy over performance of new product development. *Journal of Strategic Management*, 177(19), 174 - 188.
- [30] ParvizRad, P. (2012). From performance measurement to performance management. *3rd International Conference on Performance Management*, 9(3), 34 - 46.
- [31] Shiller, R. (2021). Aggregate Income Risks and Hedging Mechanisms. *Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 35(2), 119 152.
- [32] Tehran, U., & Wang, H. R. (2019). Risk Reduction through Acquisitions: The Roles of Firm-Specific Investments and Agency Hazards. *Advances in Mergers & Acquisitions*, 5(4), 25 - 49.
- [33] White, L., & Frame, W. (2014). *Emerging Competition and Risk-Taking Incentives at Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.* New York: New York University Leonard.
- [34] Woods, M. (2016). A contingency theory perspective on the risk management control system within Birmingham City Council. *Management Accounting Research*, 4(2), 69 -81.